BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY
3 1761 10082827 6

COMMUNITY PLANNING LAW

CASES AND MATERIALS

EDITED BY J. B. MILNER

Storage
KF
5692
.A4M56
1955

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY
FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

CASES and MATERIALS

on the law of

COMMUNITY PLANNING
and
ADMINISTRATION

Edited by
J. B. Milner
Associate Professor of Law
Faculty of Law
University of Toronto

Printed for the Use of Law Students Only
Not for Publication

1955.

CASES AND MATERIALS ON THE LAW OF COMMUNITY PLANNING AND ADMINISTRATION

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION i

PART I THE CONTROL OF LAND USE AT COMMON LAW AND IN EQUITY.

CHAPTER I RESTRICTIVE COVENANTS.

(1848) Tulk v. Moxhay, 1
(1900) Rogers v. Hosegood, 3
(1914) London County Council v. Allen, 6
(1937) In re Ballard's Conveyances, 12
(1939) Zetland v. Driver, 14
(1906) Whitehouse v. Hugh (note only), 17
(1951) Re Lankin, 18
(1909) Reid v. Bickerstaff, 19
(1926) Re Wheeler, 22
(1914) Re Lorne Park, 25
(1935) Re Beardmore, 29
The Conveyancing and Law of Property Act s. 61; 33
(1922) Re Graham, 34
(1933) Re Dinnick, 34
(1941) Re Moody, 35
(1921) Page v. Campbell, 38
(1920) Re Second Church of Christ Scientist and Deds, 41
(1884) Van Koughnet v. Denison, 42
(1919) Cowan v. Ferguson, 44
(1951) Noble and Wolf v. Alley, 46
The Conveyancing and Law of Property Act s. 21; 48
(1922) Smith v. Eggerston, 48
(1936) Re Cosgrave and Delta Park Ltd., 49

CHAPTER II NUISANCE. 50

(1910) Appleby v. Erie Tobacco Co., 50
(1927) Strett v. Marshall, 52
(1952) Macievich v. Anderson, 54
(1931) Krebs v. Herman (note only), 56
(1905) McBean v. Wyllie, 56
(1881) Metropolitan Asylum District v. Hill, 57
(1916) Oakley v. Webb, 59
(1952) Russell Transport Ltd. v. Ontario Malleable Iron Co., 62
(1949) K.V.P. Co. v. McKie, 66
(1917) Black v. Canadian Copper Co. (note only), 69
The K.V.P. Company Limited Act, 1950, 68
(1927) Miller v. Schoene, 70

PART II EARLY LEGISLATIVE CONTROL OF LAND USE: STATUTES AND BY-LAWS.

CHAPTER III CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITY TO PASS BY-LAWS REGULATING LAND USE. 73

Section 1. Constitutional Powers in Canada and the United States.

British North America Act, 73
Legal Theory (W. Friedmann) Natural Law Ideas in English Law, 74
(1883) Hodge v. The Queen, 75
(1926) Village of Euclid v. Ambler Realty Co., 77
(1911) St. Louis Gunning Advertisement Co. v. City of St. Louis, 8
(1944) Murphy Inc. v. Town of Westport, 85
(1935) General Outdoor Advertising Co. v. Dept. of Public Works, 8
(1954) Berman v. Parker, 89

CHAPTER III (continued)

Section 2. Difficulties of Divided Jurisdiction, 91

(1951) Johannesson v. West St. Paul R.M., 91
Further Note on Aeronautical Control: Zoning, 95
Post Office Act, 97
Veterans' Land Act, 97

CHAPTER IV LEGISLATIVE AUTHORITY TO PASS BY-LAWS REGULATING LAND USE, 99

Section 1. Difficulties of Divided Jurisdiction Within the Provinces.

Municipal Act (parts only), 99
Highway Traffic Act s. 28; 104
Highway Improvement Act, 105

Section 2. Scope of Statutory Authority: Drafting, Interpretation and Judicial Review.

(1949) Donald v. The Town of Whitby, 106
Municipal Act ss. 292, 293, 296, 291; 108
(1938) In re Gordon and De Laval Co. Ltd., (note only), 109
(1914) In re Glover and Sam Kee, 109
(1896) Toronto v. Virgo, 110
(1948) Re McCormick and Toronto Township, 113
(1898) Kruse v. Johnson, 114
Municipal Act s. 259 (2), 119
(1915) Rogers v. City of Toronto, (note only), 119
(1928) Re Howard and City of Toronto, (note only), 119
(1915) Jones v. Township of Tuckersmith, (note only), 121

Section 3. Discrimination: Spot Zoning.

(1937) Miller v. Charleswood R.M., 121
(1944) Rex ex Rel. St. Jean v. Knot, 123
(1941) Lleahy v. Inspector of Buildings, 123
(1946) Page v. City of Portland, 125
(1945) Metropolitan Stores Limited v. City of Hamilton, 128

Section 4. Delegation.

(1907) Russell v. Toronto, 134
Canadian Municipal Government, K. Grant Crawford (short quote), 136
Municipal Organization in Canada, Thomas J. Plunkett, (short quote), 137
(1919) In re By-Law 92, Town of Winnipeg Beach, 138
(1931) Simon v. Gastonguay, 139
(1904) Re Dundas Street Bridges, 141
(1907) Re Halladay and Ottawa, 144
(1888) Regina v. Webster, 144
(1943) Rex ex rel. Taylor v. Kemp, 148
(1951) Rex ex rel. Fletcher v. Joy Oil Co. Ltd., 149
Municipal Act ss. 400, 399; 153
(1953) Re Resolution, Town of Melville, Sask., 154
(1955) Re Tenenbaum and Toronto Local Board of Health, 159

Section 5. Uncertainty.

(1879) Re Harris and Hamilton, 163
(1928) Re Goldstein and City of Windsor, 165
Notes and Excerpts on Uncertainty, 165
(1920) Montreal v. Morgan (note only), 165
(1859) Re Smith and Toronto (note only), 166
(1896) Re Elliott (note only), 167
(1896) Strickland v. Hayes, 167

Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2018 with funding from
University of Toronto

https://archive.org/details/casesmaterialson00miln

CHAPTER IV (continued)

Section 6. Provincial Supervision of Restricted Area By-laws.

Municipal Act, 168
Speech by Chairman of Municipal Board (extract), 169
Comments by Chairman of Municipal Board, By-Law 1614 (extract), 173
Re By-Law 1614, Toronto Township, 175
Re By-Law 9910, Township of North York, 177
Town Planning Act (B.C.), 180
The Community Planning Act (Sask.), 180
The Town and Rural Planning Act, 1953 (Alta.), 181
Ontario Municipal Board Act, 181
Re Ontario Municipal Board and North York, 183
Rogers and Pyke v. North York, 184
Comments by Municipal Board Chairman, By-Law 1614, 188
By-Law 9454 Township of Etobicoke (ss. 10.5.2. and 10.6.2.), 188
Re Leeshore Construction Co. and Etobicoke Township, 189

CHAPTER V NON-CONFORMING USES: RETROACTIVITY.

Brett v. Building Commissioner of Brookline, 193
Unfinished Building Within s. 78 (English Act), 196
Municipal Act s. 390 (6) and (6) as amended, 199
Town and Rural Planning Act, 1953 (Albta.), 199
Town Planning Act (B.C.), 199
Regina v. Cappy and Smith, 201
Toronto v. Roman Catholic Separate School Board, 205
Central Jewish Institute v. Toronto, 207
Hammond v. Hamilton, 212
Re Imperial Oil and Etobicoke, 216
Re Wilmot v. Kingston, 217

PART III MODERN PLANNING LEGISLATION.

CHAPTER VI THE OFFICIAL PLAN.

Section 1. Legal Effect of an Official Plan.

The Town and Rural Planning Act, 1953 (Alberta), 223
The Town and Country Planning Act, 1947 (U.K.), 226
The Same, Explanatory Memorandum, 227

Section 2. Contents of an Official Plan.

Third Report and Official (Toronto), 229
Brief to Royal Commission on Canada's Economic Prospects Metro. Toronto, 239
Official Plan, Township of Markham, 256
Official Plan, Township of Ancaster, 267
Official Plan, Township of Toronto, 269
Oxford Replanned (Summary of Main Recommendations), 291
Don Mills Publicity, 274
Freedom: A Suggested Analysis, 275
Re Markity and Fort Erie, 277

CHAPTER VI (continued)

Section 3. The Administration of Planning.

Pringle v. Victoria, 279
Planning Administration, 279
The Professional Status of a Planning Director, 282

CHAPTER VII IMPLEMENTING THE PLAN: SUBDIVISION CONTROL.

The Filing of A Plan of Subdivision, 284
100 New Families, 292
Conditions in Draft Plans, 294
G. S. Shipp and Son Ltd. v. Toronto Township, 295
Re Toronto Township and G. S. Shipp and Son Ltd., 296
Modified Subdivider's Agreement (Financial), 299
Modified Subdivider's Agreement (Engineering), 300
Assessment Commissioner's Letter, 302
Stephanie v. The Village of Streetsville, 304
Re Cardinal and O'Mally, 306
Compulsory Subdivision Control: Section 24, 306
Zhilka v. Turney, 309

CHAPTER VIII IMPLEMENTING THE PLAN: THE COMMITTEE OF ADJUSTMENT.

Introductory notes on The Planning Act, 1955, sections 17 and 18, 312
Member of the Board of Appeals, 315
Re The United Church of Canada, 316
Re St. Nicholas Church, 319
Re Harmer, 320
Re Harmer, 325
Re Trenouth, 327
Re McCutcheon, 327
Re La Croix, 327
Re Aloe, 328
Re B. A. Oil Company Ltd., 329
Re Cormack, 331
Re Hugh King, 334
Re Chartered Trust Co. Ltd., 334
Re Chartered Trust Co. (Municipal Board Order), 335
Re Canadian Oil Cos., 336

CHAPTER IX SELECTED PROBLEMS.

Section 1. Comparison of the Comprehensive Zoning By-Law and the Established Use By-Law., 338

Re Toronto Township By-Law 1614, 338

Section 2. Open Space Provisions in By-Laws, 339

Section 3. Commercial Shopping Centres, 340

Section 4. Sand and Gravel Pits, 340

Re Toronto Township By-Law 1614, 340

Section 5. Planning and Conveyancing Law, 342

Krahnbiel v. Dondaneau, 342

Section 6. Freedom and Planning, 342.